

# Verification of Compendial Procedures acc. to Ph.Eur.



## About us

#### INTERLABOR BELP AG



### Key facts

- 90 chemists, biologists, engineers and laboratory technicians
- Laboratory building completed in 2008, 2'640 m<sup>2</sup> of laboratory floor space
- 1'000 national & international regular clients
   from local farmers to big pharma
- Independent: all of Interlabor shares owned by management
- Accredited according to ISO 17025 / GMP certified

# Our field of activity

### **INTERLABOR** – analytics with passion



#### Pharma

Routine analysis for raw materials / finished products, stability studies, ICHQ3D, cleaning validation



### **Phytopharmaceuticals** Residuals (pesticides, toxins, heavy metals), microbiological tests



#### **Cosmetics** Microbiological / preservative challenge tests



### Food and Dietary supplements

Residuals (pesticides, toxins, heavy metals), microbiological tests, vitamins, mineral elements

### **Medical devices**

Residuals from production (e.g., lubricating oil or abrasives), bioburden, endotoxins

#### Environment



**INTERLABOR** 

**BELP AG** 

Airborne germs for indoor air, aldehydes / ketones, micropollutants

#### Water

Drinking, spring, or mineral water / Pharma and process water

#### Special analytics

Troubleshooting, leachables & extractables studies, LC-(HR)MS/MS analytics



# Validation vs. Verification



#### Why either?

- Production of pharmaceutical products worldwide  $\rightarrow$  comparability of quality required
- Ultimate goal / responsibility: ensure patient safety

a da se la secola de la companya de

#### Validation

- Non-compendial methods
- Compendial methods applied for noncompendial products
- Usually based on ICH Q2R1 Guideline



#### Verification

- Public methods: → e.g.
  Pharmacopoeia, ISO norm, methods published by governmental bodies (e.g. EU, FDA) [1]
- Validated methods of analytical test kits (e.g. ELISA test)

# **Verification: Literature**



#### Literature

- USP-NF <1226>: Verfication of compendial procedures, official as of 01-Dec-2019
- Ph.Eur. 01/2023: 52600 Implementation of pharmacopeial procedures
- EDQM, "Examples of implementation of pharmacopeial procedures according to chapter 5.26 "Implementation of pharmacopeial procedures" ", Edition 2022



# Verification: USP vs. Ph.Eur.



#### Similarities

- Compendial methods regarded as validated
- Scope = establish suitability of available personnel, equipment, reagents etc. [2]
- Performance prior to implementation of test
- Reduced testing scope compared to validation → assessment of selected performance characteristics
  - Tests dependent on complexity of analysis and test matrix
  - Extent of implementation work responsibility of user [3]

a na ana amin'ny tanàna mandritry dia kaominina dia kaomin

- No critical factors identified  $\rightarrow$  no laboratory testing required
- Microbiological tests covered by different chapter (e.g. USP-NF <51>, <61>, <1227> or Ph.Eur. 2.612, 2.6.13)





# Verification: USP vs. Ph.Eur.

#### INTERLABOR BELP AG

#### Differences

#### USP Ph.Eur. Stability of sample / standard preparation n/a = responsibility of user No verification for routinely performed basic compendial • procedures (LoD) wet chemical tests (acid value) • simple instrumental test (pH) • Production route of test matrix taken into n/a account

n/a

Assessment case-by-case

Verification parameter = sensitivity instead of DL / QL (verify lower range limit)

# **Verification – How to proceed**

#### INTERLABOR BELP AG

### Ph.Eur. 01/2023: 52600

- Step 1 assessment for factors impacting performance of test
- Step 2 determine APPCs (analytical procedure performance characteristics), acceptance criteria → verification plan; perform experiments

ուսին ու նշատես են այն այն անվել մանչ է որ չել մանչ է որ շատնական այն էն այն է ննդերնել դարիստել են դարական է ը

• Step 3 – re-evaluation in case of monograph update

Intended use	Identification	Testing for impurities		Assay - content/potency - dissolution (measurement only)	Other quantitative tests
AFFCs		Limit test	Quantitative test		
Accuracy	0	0	0	•	
Precision					
- Repeatability	0	0	•	•	•
- Intermediate precision	0	0		•	
Specificity/Selectivity	Þ	•	•	•	
Sensitivity	0	•	•	0	
Linearity	0	0	0	•	
Range	0	0	0	•	
Robustness	0	0		Þ	



### Example from the lab – Ph.Eur. 07/2019:1235

ASSAY

Liquid chromatography (2.2.29) as described in the test for related substances with the following modification. *Injection*: test solution and reference solution (a).

Calculate the percentage content of  $C_{12}H_{24}O_{11}$  taking into account the assigned content of maltitol CRS.

- Isocratic chromatography
- Mobile phase: water
- Sample dissolved and diluted in water
- Step 1 assessment of critical factors
  - sample → specificity / selectivity
  - sample preparation  $\rightarrow$  no impact
  - reagents → no impact
  - lab equipment  $\rightarrow$  basic equipment  $\rightarrow$  no impact on test
    - $\rightarrow$  LC with RI detector  $\rightarrow$  precision, SST
    - → column → specificity / selectivity
  - lab conditions  $\rightarrow$  no impact

### MALTITOL

Maltitolum



### Example from the lab – Ph.Eur. 07/2019:1235

- Assay by LC-RID
- Step 2 verification parameters
  - Specificity / selectivity
    → selectivity blank, reference and sample solution
  - Precision
    - $\rightarrow$  system repeatability (repeat injection of reference solution, SST)
    - → repeatability (recommened acc. to Ph.Eur. 5.26)
- Step 2 acceptance criteria
  - Selectivity / specificity → no interference in blank @ RT of analyte
  - Precision  $\rightarrow$  RSD (n = 6)  $\leq$  0.7 %
  - System repeatability  $\rightarrow$  SST: RSD (n = 6)  $\leq$  0.85 %, peak symmetry 0.8 1.8
  - Additional: mean value precision experiment in specification (98.0 102.0 %)

#### MALTITOL

INTERLABOR

**BELP AG** 

Maltitolum





#### Example from the lab – Ph.Eur. 01/2017:1647, Identification B

B. Thin-layer chromatography (2.2.27).

*Test solution*. Dissolve 10 mg of the substance to be examined in water R and dilute to 1 mL with the same solvent.

*Reference solution.* Dissolve 10 mg of lactobionic acid CRS in water R and dilute to 1 mL with the same solvent.

*Plate*: TLC silica gel plate R.

Mobile phase: concentrated ammonia R1, ethyl acetate R, water R, methanol R (2:2:2:4 V/V/V/V).

- Step 1 assessment of critical factors
  - sample → specificity / selectivity
  - sample preparation → no impact
  - reagents → no impact
  - lab equipment  $\rightarrow$  basic equipment  $\rightarrow$  no impact on test
  - lab conditions → specificity / selectivity

#### LACTOBIONIC ACID

Acidum lactobionicum





#### Example from the lab – Ph.Eur. 01/2017:1647, Identification B

B. Thin-layer chromatography (2.2.27).

*Test solution*. Dissolve 10 mg of the substance to be examined in water R and dilute to 1 mL with the same solvent.

*Reference solution*. Dissolve 10 mg of lactobionic acid CRS in water R and dilute to 1 mL with the same solvent.

*Plate*: TLC silica gel plate R.

Mobile phase: concentrated ammonia R1, ethyl acetate R, water R, methanol R (2:2:2:4 V/V/V/V).

- Step 2 verification parameters
  - Specificity / selectivity
    - $\rightarrow$  blank, reference and sample solution

#### LACTOBIONIC ACID

Acidum lactobionicum

- Step 2 acceptance criteria
  - Selectivity / specificity → all expected spots present, no unexpected spots in blank



### Example from EDQM Guidance document [4] – Ph.Eur. 07/2017:0113

- Assay by LC-UV
  - Isocratic chromatography
  - Mobile phase: phosphate buffer, water, methanol

. Na sinaka ini manaka na ini kana ini kalendari aka na kana kata kata na kana na sina kata na sina kana kata k

- Sample dissolved and diluted in water
- All solution to be prepared immediately before use
- Step 1 assessment of critical factors
  - sample → Specificity / selectivity
  - sample preparation  $\rightarrow$  Stability of solutions
  - reagents → no impact on test
  - lab equipment → basic equipment → no impact on test
    → LC with UV detector → linearity, precision
  - lab conditions  $\rightarrow$  no impact

#### [4] EDQM, "Examples of implementation of pharmacopeial procedures according to chapter 5.26", Edition 2022

### **BENZYLPENICILLIN POTASSIUM**

Benzylpenicillinum kalicum

### H H H CO<sub>2</sub>K CH<sub>3</sub> CH<sub>3</sub> CH<sub>3</sub>



### $\rightarrow$ tested during validation (by EDQM)

 $\rightarrow$  symmetry factor reference solution (SST)

Linearity •

•

Assay by LC-UV

Step 2 – verification parameters

Specificity / selectivity

Stability of solutions

 $\rightarrow$  linearity of detector range (instrument qualification)

 $\rightarrow$  selectivity blank, reference and sample solution

- Precision •
  - $\rightarrow$  system repeatability (repeat injection of reference solution, SST)
  - $\rightarrow$  repeatability (recommend acc. to Ph.Eur. 5.26)

### Example from EDQM Guidance document [4] – Ph.Eur. 07/2017:0113

- **BENZYLPENICILLIN POTASSIUM** 
  - Benzylpenicillinum kalicum





### Verification – Examples

n de gebeur de seu de seu de la seu de seu de seu de seu de seu de la seu de la gebeur de la gebeur de seu de s

# Thank you





- In case of questions:
  - Visit <u>www.interlabor.ch/en/</u>
  - Contact <u>lydia.stucki@interlabor.ch</u> for issues related to verification
  - Contact <u>martina.lingg@interlabor.ch</u> for other inquiries
  - Publication by Interlabor: <u>https://interlabor.ch/en/news-detail/validation-of-methods-but-the-right-way</u>